Creative Telescoping via Hermite Reduction

Manuel Kauers

Institute for Algebra Johannes Kepler University

joint work with Shaoshi Chen, Hui Huang, and Ziming Li.

$$F(n) = \sum_{k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{2n}{2k}$$

$$F(n) = \sum_{k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{2n}{2k}$$

Output:

$$(48n^{3} + 152n^{2} + 144n + 40) F(n)$$

+ (42n^{3} + 154n^{2} + 188n + 64) F(n + 1)
- (6n^{3} + 25n^{2} + 32n + 12) F(n + 2) = 0

$$F(x) = \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{(2x-1)t+2} \, \mathrm{e}^{xt^2} \, dt$$

$$F(x) = \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{(2x-1)t+2} e^{xt^2} dt$$

Output:

$$(256x^{6} - 256x^{5} + 64x^{3} - 16x^{2}) F''(x) + (512x^{5} + 256x^{2} - 32x) F'(x) + (48x^{4} + 176x^{3} + 84x - 3) F(x) = 0$$

 $\label{eq:GIVEN_f} \text{GIVEN } f(k) \text{, FIND} \quad g(k) \quad \text{ such that}$

f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

Then $\sum_{k=0}^{n} f(k) = g(n+1) - g(0)$.

GIVEN k k!, FIND k! such that

k k! = (k+1)! - k!.

Then $\sum_{k=0}^{n} k k! = (n+1)! - 1.$

GIVEN H_k , FIND $kH_k - k$ such that

$$H_k = (n+1)H_{n+1} - (n+1) - nH_n + n.$$

Then $\sum_{k=0}^{n} H_k = (n+1)H_{n+1} - (n+1)$.

 $\mathsf{GIVEN}\ f(x),\ \mathsf{FIND}\ g(x) \quad \mathsf{such\ that}$

$$f(x) = \frac{d}{dx}g(x).$$

Then $\int f(x)dx = g(\overline{x})$.

GIVEN
$$\frac{1}{x^2}$$
, FIND $-\frac{1}{x}$ such that
 $\frac{1}{x^2} = \frac{d}{dx}(-\frac{1}{x}).$
Then $\int \frac{1}{x^2} dx = -\frac{1}{x}.$

 $\label{eq:GIVEN_f} \text{GIVEN } f(k) \text{, FIND} \quad g(k) \quad \text{ such that}$

f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

Then $\sum_{k=0}^{n} f(k) = g(n+1) - g(0)$.

 $\mathsf{GIVEN}\ f(k),\ \mathsf{FIND}\ g(k) \quad \mathsf{such\ that}$

f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

Then $\sum_{k=0}^{n} f(k) = g(n+1) - g(0).$

The creative telescoping problem:

 $\label{eq:GIVEN_f} \mbox{GIVEN} \ f(k), \ \mbox{FIND} \ \ \ g(k) \ \ \ \mbox{such that}$

f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

Then $\sum_{k=0}^{n} f(k) = g(n+1) - g(0).$

The creative telescoping problem:

GIVEN f(n, k),

GIVEN $f(k),\, {\sf FIND} \quad g(k) \quad {\sf such that} \label{eq:given}$ f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

Then $\sum_{k=0}^{n} f(k) = g(n+1) - g(0)$.

The creative telescoping problem:

GIVEN f(n,k), FIND g(n,k) and $c_0(n),\ldots,c_r(n)$

GIVEN f(k), FIND g(k) such that f(k)=g(k+1)-g(k). Then $\sum_{k=0}^n f(k)=g(n+1)-g(0).$

The creative telescoping problem:

GIVEN f(n,k), FIND g(n,k) and $c_0(n),\ldots,c_r(n)$ such that

$$c_0(n)f(n,k) + \dots + c_r(n)f(n+r,k) = g(n,k+1) - g(n,k)$$

GIVEN f(k), FIND g(k) such that f(k)=g(k+1)-g(k). Then $\sum_{k=0}^n f(k)=g(n+1)-g(0).$

The creative telescoping problem:

GIVEN f(n,k), FIND g(n,k) and $c_0(n),\ldots,c_r(n)$ such that

$$c_0(n)f(n,k) + \dots + c_r(n)f(n+r,k) = g(n,k+1) - g(n,k)$$

Then $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n,k)$ satisfies

 $c_0(n)F(n)+\dots+c_r(n)F(n+r)=\operatorname{explicit}(n).$

 $\label{eq:GIVEN_f} \text{GIVEN } f(k) \text{, FIND} \quad g(k) \quad \text{ such that}$

$$f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).$$

Then $\sum_{k=0}^{n} f(k) = g(n+1) - g(0)$.

The creative telescoping problem:

GIVEN
$$\binom{n}{k}$$
, FIND $\frac{k}{k-n-1}\binom{n}{k}$ and $-2, 1$ such that
$$-2\binom{n}{k} + \binom{n+1}{k} = \frac{k+1}{k+1-n-1}\binom{n}{k+1} - \frac{k}{k-n-1}\binom{n}{k}$$

Then $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}$ satisfies

$$-2F(n)+F(n+1)=0.$$

GIVEN f(k), FIND g(k) such that

f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

Then $\sum_{k=0}^{n} f(k) = g(n+1) - g(0)$.

The creative telescoping problem: GIVEN $\binom{n}{k}^2$, FIND $\frac{k^2(2k-3n-3)}{(n+1-k)^2} \binom{n}{k}^2$ and (-4n-2), (n+1) such that $(-4n-2)\binom{n}{k}^2 + (n+1)\binom{n+1}{k}^2 = \frac{(k+1)^2(2(k+1)-3n-3)}{(n+1-(k+1))^2} \binom{n}{k+1}^2 - \frac{k^2(2k-3n-3)}{(n+1-k)^2} \binom{n}{k}^2$ Then $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2$ satisfies (-4n-2)F(n) + (n+1)F(n+1) = 0.

GIVEN f(k), FIND g(k) such that f(k)=g(k+1)-g(k). Then $\sum_{k=0}^n f(k)=g(n+1)-g(0).$

The creative telescoping problem:

GIVEN f(x,t), FIND g(x,t) and $c_0(x),\ldots,c_r(x)$ such that

$$c_0(x)f(x,t) + \dots + c_r(x)\frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r}f(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}g(x,t)$$

Then $F(x) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, t) dt$ satisfies

$$c_0(x)F(x) + \cdots + c_r(x)\frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r}F(x) = explicit(x).$$

GIVEN f(k), FIND g(k) such that

f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

Then $\sum_{k=0}^{n} f(k) = g(n+1) - g(0)$.

The creative telescoping problem:

GIVEN $\frac{1}{1-(x^2+t^2)}$, FIND $\frac{xt}{1-(x^2+t^2)}$ and x, (x^2-1) such that $x\frac{1}{1-(x^2+t^2)} + (x^2-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{1}{1-(x^2+t^2)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{xt}{1-(x^2+t^2)}$ Then $F(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-(x^2+t^2)} dt$ satisfies $xF(x) + (x^2-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}F(x) = -\frac{1}{x}.$

GIVEN f(k), FIND g(k) such that f(k)=g(k+1)-g(k). Then $\sum_{k=0}^n f(k)=g(n+1)-g(0).$

The creative telescoping problem:

GIVEN f(n,k), FIND g(n,k) and $c_0(n),\ldots,c_r(n)$ such that

$$c_0(n)f(n,k) + \dots + c_r(n)f(n+r,k) = g(n,k+1) - g(n,k)$$

Then $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(n,k)$ satisfies

 $c_0(n)F(n)+\dots+c_r(n)F(n+r)=\operatorname{explicit}(n).$

Creative telescoping algorithms: (general principle)



Creative telescoping algorithms: (general principle)



Creative telescoping algorithms: (general principle)



Objective: do the translation so that the solving is not too hard.

1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm

- 1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm
- 2 Zeilberger's algorithm and its generalizations (since \approx 1990)

- 1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm
- 2 Zeilberger's algorithm and its generalizations (since \approx 1990)
- **3** The Apagodu-Zeilberger ansatz (since \approx 2005)

- 1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm
- 2 Zeilberger's algorithm and its generalizations (since pprox 1990)
- **3** The Apagodu-Zeilberger ansatz (since \approx 2005)
- 4 Hermite-Reduction based methods (since \approx 2010)

- 1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm
- 2 Zeilberger's algorithm and its generalizations (since pprox 1990)
- **3** The Apagodu-Zeilberger ansatz (since \approx 2005)
- 4 Hermite-Reduction based methods (since \approx 2010)

- 1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm
- **2** Zeilberger's algorithm and its generalizations (since \approx 1990)
- **3** The Apagodu-Zeilberger ansatz (since \approx 2005)
- 4 Hermite-Reduction based methods (since \approx 2010)

$$f(n,k) = c(n,k)p^nq^k \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(a_in + a'_ik + a''_i)\Gamma(b_in - b'_ik + b''_i)}{\Gamma(u_in + u'_ik + u''_i)\Gamma(v_in - v'_ik + v''_i)}$$

for a certain polynomial c, certain constants p, q, $a_i'', b_i'', u_i'', v_i''$ and certain fixed nonnegative integers $a_i, a_i', b_i, b_i, u_i, u_i, v_i, v_i'$.

$$f(n,k) = c(n,k)p^nq^k \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(a_in + a'_ik + a''_i)\Gamma(b_in - b'_ik + b''_i)}{\Gamma(u_in + u'_ik + u''_i)\Gamma(v_in - v'_ik + v''_i)}$$

for a certain polynomial c, certain constants p, q, $a_i'', b_i'', u_i'', v_i''$ and certain fixed nonnegative integers $a_i, a_i', b_i, b_i', u_i, u_i', v_i, v_i'$.

Example:
$$f(n, k) = \binom{n}{k}$$

$$f(n,k) = c(n,k)p^nq^k \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(a_in + a'_ik + a''_i)\Gamma(b_in - b'_ik + b''_i)}{\Gamma(u_in + u'_ik + u''_i)\Gamma(v_in - v'_ik + v''_i)}$$

for a certain polynomial c, certain constants p, q, $a_i'', b_i'', u_i'', v_i''$ and certain fixed nonnegative integers $a_i, a_i', b_i, b_i, u_i, u_i, v_i, v_i'$.

Example:
$$f(n,k) = {\binom{n}{k}}^2$$

$$f(n,k) = c(n,k)p^{n}q^{k}\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(a_{i}n + a_{i}'k + a_{i}'')\Gamma(b_{i}n - b_{i}'k + b_{i}'')}{\Gamma(u_{i}n + u_{i}'k + u_{i}'')\Gamma(v_{i}n - v_{i}'k + v_{i}'')}$$

for a certain polynomial c, certain constants p, q, $a_i'', b_i'', u_i'', v_i''$ and certain fixed nonnegative integers $a_i, a_i', b_i, b_i, u_i, u_i, v_i, v_i'$.

Example:
$$f(n, k) = \frac{(n-k)(2n+3k^2-5)}{(2k+n)(n-3k)}$$

$$f(n,k) = c(n,k)p^nq^k \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(a_in + a'_ik + a''_i)\Gamma(b_in - b'_ik + b''_i)}{\Gamma(u_in + u'_ik + u''_i)\Gamma(v_in - v'_ik + v''_i)}$$

for a certain polynomial c, certain constants p, q, $a_i'', b_i'', u_i'', v_i''$ and certain fixed nonnegative integers $a_i, a_i', b_i, b_i, u_i, u_i, v_i, v_i'$.

Example: $f(n, k) = (-1)^k 2^n$
f(n,k) is called proper hypergeometric if it can be written as

$$f(n,k) = c(n,k)p^{n}q^{k}\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(a_{i}n + a_{i}'k + a_{i}'')\Gamma(b_{i}n - b_{i}'k + b_{i}'')}{\Gamma(u_{i}n + u_{i}'k + u_{i}'')\Gamma(v_{i}n - v_{i}'k + v_{i}'')}$$

for a certain polynomial c, certain constants p, q, $a_i'', b_i'', u_i'', v_i''$ and certain fixed nonnegative integers $a_i, a_i', b_i, b_i, u_i, u_i, v_i, v_i'$.

Example:
$$f(n,k) = (n+k)2^n(-1)^k \frac{(n+k)!(2n-k)!(2n-2k)!}{(n+2k)!^2}$$

f(n,k) is called proper hypergeometric if it can be written as

$$f(n,k) = c(n,k)p^nq^k \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(a_in + a'_ik + a''_i)\Gamma(b_in - b'_ik + b''_i)}{\Gamma(u_in + u'_ik + u''_i)\Gamma(v_in - v'_ik + v''_i)}$$

for a certain polynomial c, certain constants p, q, $a_i'', b_i'', u_i'', v_i''$ and certain fixed nonnegative integers $a_i, a_i', b_i, b_i, u_i, u_i, v_i, v_i'$.

Note:
$$\frac{f(n, k+1)}{f(n, k)}$$
 and $\frac{f(n+1, k)}{f(n, k)}$ are rational functions in n and k.

f(n,k) is called proper hypergeometric if it can be written as

$$f(n,k) = c(n,k)p^{n}q^{k}\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(a_{i}n + a_{i}'k + a_{i}'')\Gamma(b_{i}n - b_{i}'k + b_{i}'')}{\Gamma(u_{i}n + u_{i}'k + u_{i}'')\Gamma(v_{i}n - v_{i}'k + v_{i}'')}$$

for a certain polynomial c, certain constants p, q, $a_i'', b_i'', u_i'', v_i''$ and certain fixed nonnegative integers $a_i, a_i', b_i, b_i, u_i, u_i, v_i, v_i'$.

Note:
$$\frac{f(n, k+1)}{f(n, k)}$$
 and $\frac{f(n+1, k)}{f(n, k)}$ are rational functions in n and k
Example: For $f(n, k) = \binom{n}{k}$ we have
 $\frac{f(n, k+1)}{f(n, k)} = \frac{n-k}{k+1}$, $\frac{f(n+1, k)}{f(n, k)} = \frac{n+1}{n-k+1}$

• It constructs, if possible, a rational function r(k) such that for $g(k) \coloneqq r(k)f(k)$ we have f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

• It constructs, if possible, a rational function r(k) such that for g(k) := r(k)f(k) we have f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

• It constructs, if possible, a rational function r(k) such that for g(k) := r(k)f(k) we have f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

Zeilberger's algorithm takes a hypergeometric term f(n, k) as input and solves the creative telescoping problem:

• Pick some $r \in \mathbb{N}$

• It constructs, if possible, a rational function r(k) such that for g(k) := r(k)f(k) we have f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

- Pick some $r \in \mathbb{N}$
- Consider the auxiliary hypergeometric term $a(n,k) := c_0 f(n,k) + c_1 f(n+1,k) + \dots + c_r f(n+r,k)$

• It constructs, if possible, a rational function r(k) such that for $g(k) \coloneqq r(k)f(k)$ we have f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

- Pick some $r \in \mathbb{N}$
- Consider the auxiliary hypergeometric term $\begin{array}{c} a(n,k) \coloneqq c_0 \, f(n,k) + c_1 \, f(n+1,k) + \cdots + c_r \, f(n+r,k) \\ \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \end{array}$ unknown unknown unknown

• It constructs, if possible, a rational function r(k) such that for g(k) := r(k)f(k) we have f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

- Pick some $r \in \mathbb{N}$
- Consider the auxiliary hypergeometric term $a(n,k) := c_0 f(n,k) + c_1 f(n+1,k) + \dots + c_r f(n+r,k)$
- Call Gosper's algorithm on a(n, k) and check on the fly if there are values for c₀,..., c_r such that there exists a hypergeometric term g(n, k) with a(n, k) = g(n, k + 1) - g(n, k).

• It constructs, if possible, a rational function r(k) such that for g(k) := r(k)f(k) we have f(k) = g(k+1) - g(k).

- Pick some $r \in \mathbb{N}$
- Consider the auxiliary hypergeometric term $a(n,k) := c_0 f(n,k) + c_1 f(n+1,k) + \dots + c_r f(n+r,k)$
- Call Gosper's algorithm on a(n, k) and check on the fly if there are values for c_0, \ldots, c_r such that there exists a hypergeometric term g(n, k) with a(n, k) = g(n, k+1) g(n, k).
- If no nontrivial values c_0, \ldots, c_r exist, increase r and try again.

Analogous algorithms have been formulated for

- q-hypergeometric terms (Wilf-Zeilberger)
- hyperexponential terms (Almkvist-Zeilberger)
- holonomic functions (Chyzak)
- $\Pi\Sigma$ -expressions (Schneider)

The four generations of creative telescoping algorithms:

- 1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm
- 2 Zeilberger's algorithm and its generalizations (since \approx 1990)
- **3** The Apagodu-Zeilberger ansatz (since \approx 2005)
- 4 Hermite-Reduction based methods (since \approx 2010)

The four generations of creative telescoping algorithms:

- 1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm
- 2 Zeilberger's algorithm and its generalizations (since pprox 1990)
- **3** The Apagodu-Zeilberger ansatz (since \approx 2005)
- 4 Hermite-Reduction based methods (since \approx 2010)

🕂 Easier to implement, and more efficient

- 🕂 Easier to implement, and more efficient
- May not always find the minimal order equation

- 🕂 Easier to implement, and more efficient
- May not always find the minimal order equation
- 🕂 Allows to estimate the size of the output

Example:
$$f(n, k) = \frac{\Gamma(2n+k)}{\Gamma(n+2k)}$$
.

f(n,k) =

f(n, k)

Example: $f(n,k) = \frac{\overline{\Gamma(2n+k)}}{\overline{\Gamma(n+2k)}}$.

f(n,k) = f(n+1,k) =

 $\begin{array}{c} f(n,k)\\ \frac{(2n+k)(2n+k+1)}{(n+2k)}f(n,k)\end{array}$

Example: $f(n, k) = \frac{\Gamma(2n+k)}{\Gamma(n+2k)}$. f(n, k) = f(n + 1, k) = \vdots f(n + i, k) =

 $\frac{f(n,k)}{\frac{(2n+k)(2n+k+1)}{(n+2k)}}f(n,k)$

$$\frac{(2n+k)\cdots(2n+k+(2i-1))}{(n+2k)\cdots(n+2k+(i-1))}f(n,k)$$

Example:
$$f(n, k) = \frac{\Gamma(2n+k)}{\Gamma(n+2k)}$$
.
 $f(n, k) = f(n, k)$
 $f(n + 1, k) = \frac{\frac{(2n+k)(2n+k+1)}{(n+2k)}}{(n+2k)}f(n, k)$
 \vdots
 $f(n + i, k) = \frac{\frac{(2n+k)\cdots(2n+k+(2i-1))}{(n+2k)\cdots(n+2k+(i-1))}}{(n+2k)\cdots(n+2k+(i-1))}f(n, k)$
 \vdots
 $f(n + r, k) = \frac{(2n+k)\cdots(2n+k+(2r-1))}{(n+2k)\cdots(n+2k+(r-1))}f(n, k)$

Example:
$$f(n, k) = \frac{\Gamma(2n+k)}{\Gamma(n+2k)}$$
.

$$\begin{split} f(n,k) &= \ \frac{(n+2k)\cdots\cdots(n+2k+(r-1))}{(n+2k)}f(n,k) \\ f(n+1,k) &= \ \frac{(n+2k+1)\cdots\cdots(n+2k+(r-1))}{(n+2k+1)\cdots(n+2k+(r-1))}\frac{(2n+k)(2n+k+1)}{(n+2k)}f(n,k) \end{split}$$

$$f(n+i,k) = \frac{(n+2k+i)\cdots(n+2k+(r-1))}{(n+2k+i)\cdots(n+2k+(r-1))} \frac{(2n+k)\cdots(2n+k+(2i-1))}{(n+2k)\cdots(n+2k+(i-1))} f(n,k)$$

$$f(n + r, k) = \frac{(2n+k)\cdots(2n+k+(2r-1))}{(n+2k)\cdots(n+2k+(r-1))}f(n, k)$$

 $P \cdot f(n,k)$

 $P \cdot f(n,k) = p_0(n)f(n,k) + \dots + p_r(n)f(n+r,k)$

$$\begin{split} P \cdot f(n,k) &= p_0(n)f(n,k) + \dots + p_r(n)f(n+r,k) \\ &= \frac{p_0(n)\mathbf{poly}_0(n,k) + \dots + p_r(n)\mathbf{poly}_r(n,k)}{(n+2k)\dots(n+2k+(r-1))}f(n,k) \end{split}$$



Example:
$$f(n,k) = \frac{\Gamma(2n+k)}{\Gamma(n+2k)}$$
.
 $P \cdot f(n,k) = p_0(n)f(n,k) + \dots + p_r(n)f(n+r,k)$
 $= \frac{p_0(n)poly_0(n,k) + \dots + p_r(n)poly_r(n,k)}{(n+2k)\dots(n+2k+(r-1))}f(n,k)$
Choose $Q = \frac{q_0(n)+q_1(n)k+\dots+q_{2r-2}(n)k^{2r-2}}{(n+2k)\dots(n+2k+(r-3))}$.

 $\frac{1}{(n+2k)\cdots(n+2k+(r-3))}$

Example:
$$f(n,k) = \frac{\Gamma(2n+k)}{\Gamma(n+2k)}$$
.
 $P \cdot f(n,k) = p_0(n)f(n,k) + \dots + p_r(n)f(n+r,k)$
 $= \frac{p_0(n)poly_0(n,k) + \dots + p_r(n)poly_r(n,k)}{(n+2k)\dots(n+2k+(r-1))}f(n,k)$
Choose $Q = \frac{q_0(n)+q_1(n)k+\dots+q_{2r-2}(n)k^{2r-2}}{(n+2k)\dots(n+2k+(r-3))}$.

$$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Example: } f(n,k) = \frac{\Gamma(2n+k)}{\Gamma(n+2k)}, & \mbox{deg}_k \leq 2r \\ P \cdot f(n,k) = p_0(n)f(n,k) + \cdots + p_r(n)f(n+r,k) \\ &= \frac{p_0(n) \mathbf{poly}_0(n,k) + \cdots + p_r(n) \mathbf{poly}_r(n,k)}{(n+2k) \cdots (n+2k+(r-1))}f(n,k) \\ \end{array}$$

$$Choose \ Q = \frac{q_0(n) + q_1(n)k + \cdots + q_{2r-2}(n)k^{2r-2}}{(n+2k) \cdots (n+2k+(r-3))}. \quad Then: \\ (S_k-1)Q \cdot f(n,k) = \frac{q_0(n) \mathbf{pol}_0(n,k) + \cdots + q_{2r-2}(n) \mathbf{pol}_{2r-2}(n,k)}{(n+2k) \cdots (n+2k+(r-1))}f(n,k) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Example: } f(n,k) = \frac{\Gamma(2n+k)}{\Gamma(n+2k)}, & \mbox{deg}_k \leq 2r \\ P \cdot f(n,k) = p_0(n)f(n,k) + \cdots + p_r(n)f(n+r,k) \\ &= \frac{p_0(n)\mathbf{poly}_0(n,k) + \cdots + p_r(n)\mathbf{poly}_r(n,k)}{(n+2k)(n+2k)(n+2k+(r-1))}f(n,k) \\ \mbox{Choose } Q = \frac{q_0(n)+q_1(n)k+\cdots + q_{2r-2}(n)k^{2r-2}}{(n+2k)(n+2k+(r-1))}. & \mbox{Then:} \\ (S_k-1)Q \cdot f(n,k) = \frac{q_0(n)\mathbf{pol}_0(n,k) + \cdots + q_{2r-2}(n)\mathbf{pol}_{2r-2}(n,k)}{(n+2k+(r-1))}f(n,k) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{P} \cdot \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{n},\mathsf{k}) &= \mathsf{p}_0(\mathsf{n})\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{n},\mathsf{k}) + \dots + \mathsf{p}_r(\mathsf{n})\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{n}+\mathsf{r},\mathsf{k}) \\ &= \frac{\mathsf{p}_0(\mathsf{n})\mathbf{poly}_0(\mathsf{n},\mathsf{k}) + \dots + \mathsf{p}_r(\mathsf{n})\mathbf{poly}_r(\mathsf{n},\mathsf{k})}{(\mathsf{n}+2\mathsf{k})\dots(\mathsf{n}+2\mathsf{k}+(\mathsf{r}-1))}\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{n},\mathsf{k}) \end{aligned}$$

Choose
$$Q = \frac{q_0(n)+q_1(n)k+\dots+q_{2r-2}(n)k^{2r-2}}{(n+2k)\dots(n+2k+(r-3))}$$
. Then:

$$(S_k-1)Q \cdot f(n,k) = \frac{q_0(n)\mathbf{pol}_0(n,k) + \dots + q_{2r-2}(n)\mathbf{pol}_{2r-2}(n,k)}{(n+2k)\dots(n+2k+(r-1))}f(n,k)$$



Example: $f(n,k) = \frac{\overline{\Gamma(2n+k)}}{\overline{\Gamma(n+2k)}}$.

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P} \cdot f(n,k) &= p_0(n)f(n,k) + \dots + p_r(n)f(n+r,k) \\ &= \underbrace{p_0(n)\mathbf{poly}_0(n,k) + \dots + p_r(n)\mathbf{poly}_r(n,k)}_{(n+2k)\dots\dots(n+2k+(r-1))}f(n,k) \\ \mathsf{Choose} \ \mathsf{Q} &= \underbrace{q_0(n) + q_1(n)k + \dots + q_{2r-2}(n)k^{2r-2}}_{(+2k)\dots\dots(n+2k+(r-3))}. \end{split} \text{Then:} \\ &(\mathsf{S}_k-1)\mathsf{Q} \cdot f(n,k) = \underbrace{q_0(n)\mathbf{pol}_0(n,k) + \dots + q_{2r-2}(n)\mathbf{pol}_{2r-2}(n,k)}_{(n+2k)\dots\dots(n+2k+(r-1))}f(n,k) \end{split}$$

Equating coefficients with respect to k gives a linear system with (r+1)+(2r-2+1) variables and 2r+1 equations. It has a nontrivial solution as soon as $r \ge 2$.

Theorem (Apagodu-Zeilberger) For every (non-rational) proper hypergeometric term

$$f(x,y) = c(x,y)p^{x}q^{y}\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(a_{i}x + a_{i}'y + a_{i}'')\Gamma(b_{i}x - b_{i}'y + b_{i}'')}{\Gamma(u_{i}x + u_{i}'y + u_{i}'')\Gamma(v_{i}x - v_{i}'y + v_{i}'')}$$

there exists a telescoper P with

$$\operatorname{ord}(P) \leq \max \Biggl\{ \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathfrak{a}'_i + \nu'_i), \ \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathfrak{u}'_i + \mathfrak{b}'_i) \Biggr\}$$
$$f(x,y) = c(x,y)p^{x}q^{y}\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(a_{i}x + a_{i}'y + a_{i}'')\Gamma(b_{i}x - b_{i}'y + b_{i}'')}{\Gamma(u_{i}x + u_{i}'y + u_{i}'')\Gamma(v_{i}x - v_{i}'y + v_{i}'')}$$

there exists a telescoper P with

$$\operatorname{ord}(P) \leq \max \Biggl\{ \sum_{i=1}^m (\boldsymbol{a}'_i + \boldsymbol{\nu}'_i), \ \sum_{i=1}^m (\boldsymbol{u}'_i + \boldsymbol{b}'_i) \Biggr\}$$

$$f(x,y) = c(x,y)p^{x}q^{y}\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(a_{i}x + a_{i}'y + a_{i}'')\Gamma(b_{i}x - b_{i}'y + b_{i}'')}{\Gamma(u_{i}x + u_{i}'y + u_{i}'')\Gamma(v_{i}x - v_{i}'y + v_{i}'')}$$

there exists a telescoper P with

$$\operatorname{ord}(P) \leq \max \biggl\{ \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathfrak{a}'_i + \nu'_i), \ \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathfrak{u}'_i + b'_i) \biggr\}$$

Extensions:

$$f(x,y) = c(x,y)p^{x}q^{y}\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(a_{i}x + a_{i}'y + a_{i}'')\Gamma(b_{i}x - b_{i}'y + b_{i}'')}{\Gamma(u_{i}x + u_{i}'y + u_{i}'')\Gamma(v_{i}x - v_{i}'y + v_{i}'')}$$

there exists a telescoper P with

$$\operatorname{ord}(P) \leq \max \biggl\{ \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathfrak{a}'_i + \nu'_i), \ \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathfrak{u}'_i + b'_i) \biggr\}$$

Extensions:

• Chen-Kauers: $deg(P) \leq (some ugly expression)$

$$f(x,y) = c(x,y)p^{x}q^{y}\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(a_{i}x + a_{i}'y + a_{i}'')\Gamma(b_{i}x - b_{i}'y + b_{i}'')}{\Gamma(u_{i}x + u_{i}'y + u_{i}'')\Gamma(v_{i}x - v_{i}'y + v_{i}'')}$$

there exists a telescoper P with

$$\operatorname{ord}(P) \leq \max \biggl\{ \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathfrak{a}'_i + \nu'_i), \ \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathfrak{u}'_i + b'_i) \biggr\}$$

Extensions:

- Chen-Kauers: deg(P) ≤ (some ugly expression)
- Kauers-Yen: $height(P) \le (some even uglier expression)$











• There are refined formulas for degree and height of telescopers of nonminimal order.

- There are refined formulas for degree and height of telescopers of nonminimal order.
- These formulas reflect the fact that larger order yields smaller degree and height.

- There are refined formulas for degree and height of telescopers of nonminimal order.
- These formulas reflect the fact that larger order yields smaller degree and height.
- The bounds are reasonably sharp and give a good idea about the sizes of the telescopers.

- There are refined formulas for degree and height of telescopers of nonminimal order.
- These formulas reflect the fact that larger order yields smaller degree and height.
- The bounds are reasonably sharp and give a good idea about the sizes of the telescopers.

• What about the certificates?

- There are refined formulas for degree and height of telescopers of nonminimal order.
- These formulas reflect the fact that larger order yields smaller degree and height.
- The bounds are reasonably sharp and give a good idea about the sizes of the telescopers.

- What about the certificates?
- We bound their size by a similar reasoning.

- There are refined formulas for degree and height of telescopers of nonminimal order.
- These formulas reflect the fact that larger order yields smaller degree and height.
- The bounds are reasonably sharp and give a good idea about the sizes of the telescopers.

- What about the certificates?
- We bound their size by a similar reasoning.
- It turns out that certificates are much larger than telescopers.



















































































































































often not needed with $g(n, k) = k^3(n+1)^2(4k^3 - 18k^2n - 30k^2 + 27kn^2 + 93kn + 78k - 14n^3 - 74n^2 - 128n - 72)f(n, k)/((k-n-2)^3(k-n-1)^3).$

For $f(n, k) = {\binom{n}{k}}^3$ we have $8(n+1)^2 f(n, k) + (7n^2+21n+16)f(n+1, k) - (n+2)^2 f(n+2, k)$ $= \Delta_k g(n, k)$

with $g(n,k) = k^3(n+1)^2(4k^3 - 18k^2n - 30k^2 + 27kn^2 + 93kn + 78k - 14n^3 - 74n^2 - 128n - 72)f(n,k)/((k-n-2)^3(k-n-1)^3).$

For $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k}^3$ it follows that

 $8(n+1)^{2}F(n) + (7n^{2}+21n+16)F(n+1) - (n+2)^{2}F(n+2) = 0$

For $f(n, k) = {\binom{n}{k}}^3$ we have $8(n+1)^{2}f(n,k) + (7n^{2}+21n+16)f(n+1,k) - (n+2)^{2}f(n+2,k)$ $=\Delta_k q(n,k)$ with $q(n, k) = k^3(n+1)^2(4k^3 - 18k^2n - 30k^2 + 27kn^2 + 93kn +$ For $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {\binom{n}{k}}^3$ it follows that $8(n+1)^{2}F(n) + (7n^{2}+21n+16)F(n+1) - (n+2)^{2}F(n+2) = 0$

we could have known this \longrightarrow without knowing g(n, k)

The four generations of creative telescoping algorithms:

- 1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm
- 2 Zeilberger's algorithm and its generalizations (since \approx 1990)
- **3** The Apagodu-Zeilberger ansatz (since \approx 2005)
- 4 Hermite-Reduction based methods (since \approx 2010)

The four generations of creative telescoping algorithms:

- 1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm
- 2 Zeilberger's algorithm and its generalizations (since \approx 1990)
- **3** The Apagodu-Zeilberger ansatz (since \approx 2005)
- 4 Hermite-Reduction based methods (since \approx 2010)

Can we compute telescopers without also computing certificates?

$$\int \frac{3t^4 - 11t^3 - 3t^2 - 13t}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} dt$$

$$\int \frac{3t^4 - 11t^3 - 3t^2 - 13t}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} dt$$

= $\frac{-7t^3 - t^2 - 17t + 1}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} + \int \frac{3t - 1}{(t-1)(t+1)} dt$

$$\begin{split} &\int \frac{3t^4 - 11t^3 - 3t^2 - 13t}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} dt \\ &= \frac{-7t^3 - t^2 - 17t + 1}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} + \int \frac{3t - 1}{(t-1)(t+1)} dt \\ &= \frac{-7t^3 - t^2 - 17t + 1}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} + \log(1-t) + 2\log(1+t) \end{split}$$

$$\int \frac{3t^4 - 11t^3 - 3t^2 - 13t}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} dt$$

= $\frac{-7t^3 - t^2 - 17t + 1}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} + \int \frac{3t - 1}{(t-1)(t+1)} dt$
= $\frac{-7t^3 - t^2 - 17t + 1}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} + \log(1-t) + 2\log(1+t)$

In other words:

$$\frac{3t^4 - 11t^3 - 3t^2 - 13t}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{3t - 1}{(t-1)(t+1)}$$

$$\int \frac{3t^4 - 11t^3 - 3t^2 - 13t}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} dt$$

= $\frac{-7t^3 - t^2 - 17t + 1}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} + \int \frac{3t - 1}{(t-1)(t+1)} dt$
= $\frac{-7t^3 - t^2 - 17t + 1}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} + \log(1-t) + 2\log(1+t)$

In other words:

$$\frac{3t^4 - 11t^3 - 3t^2 - 13t}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{3t-1}{\underbrace{(t-1)(t+1)}}$$
no multiple roots

$$\int \frac{3t^4 - 11t^3 - 3t^2 - 13t}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} dt$$

= $\frac{-7t^3 - t^2 - 17t + 1}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} + \int \frac{3t - 1}{(t-1)(t+1)} dt$
= $\frac{-7t^3 - t^2 - 17t + 1}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} + \log(1-t) + 2\log(1+t)$

In other words:

 $\deg_t(\mathsf{num}) < \deg_t(\mathsf{den})$

$$\frac{3t^4 - 11t^3 - 3t^2 - 13t}{(t-1)^3(t+1)^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{3t-1}{(t-1)(t+1)}$$

no multiple roots
Can we compute telescopers without also computing certificates? Recall also: the creative telescoping problem for rational functions: Can we compute telescopers without also computing certificates? Recall also: the creative telescoping problem for rational functions: GIVEN f(x, t), FIND g(x, t) and $c_0(x), \ldots, c_r(x)$ such that

$$c_0(x)f(x,t) + c_1(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}f(x,t) + \dots + c_r(x)\frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r}f(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}g(x,t)$$

Can we compute telescopers without also computing certificates? Recall also: the creative telescoping problem for rational functions: GIVEN f(x, t), FIND g(x, t) and $c_0(x), \ldots, c_r(x)$ such that

$$\mathbf{c}_{0}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t}) + \mathbf{c}_{1}(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t}) + \dots + \mathbf{c}_{r}(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{r}}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{t}}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t})$$

$$f(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{p_0(x,t)}{q(x,t)}$$

$$f(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{p_0(x,t)}{q(x,t)}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{p_1(x,t)}{q(x,t)}$$

$$\begin{split} f(x,t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{p_0(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{p_1(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x,t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{p_2(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \\ &\vdots \\ \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r} f(x,t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{p_r(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} c_0(x) \, f(x,t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big(\cdots \Big) + c_0(x) \, \frac{p_0(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \\ c_1(x) \, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big(\cdots \Big) + c_1(x) \, \frac{p_1(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \\ c_2(x) \, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x,t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big(\cdots \Big) + c_2(x) \, \frac{p_2(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \\ &\vdots \\ c_r(x) \, \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r} f(x,t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big(\cdots \Big) + c_r(x) \, \frac{p_r(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \end{split}$$

$$+ \begin{cases} \mathbf{c_0}(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \left(\cdots \right) + \mathbf{c_0}(\mathbf{x}) \, \frac{\mathbf{p_0}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})}{\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})} \\ \mathbf{c_1}(\mathbf{x}) \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \left(\cdots \right) + \mathbf{c_1}(\mathbf{x}) \, \frac{\mathbf{p_1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})}{\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})} \\ \mathbf{c_2}(\mathbf{x}) \, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \left(\cdots \right) + \mathbf{c_2}(\mathbf{x}) \, \frac{\mathbf{p_2}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})}{\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{c_r}(\mathbf{x}) \, \frac{\partial^r}{\partial \mathbf{x}^r} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \left(\cdots \right) + \mathbf{c_r}(\mathbf{x}) \, \frac{\mathbf{p_r}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})}{\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})} \\ \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

 $c_0($

$$+ \begin{cases} \mathbf{c_0}(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \mathbf{c_0}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{p_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})}{q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})} \\ \mathbf{c_1}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \mathbf{c_1}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{p_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})}{q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})} \\ \mathbf{c_2}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \mathbf{c_2}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{p_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})}{q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{c_r}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial^r}{\partial \mathbf{x}^r} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + \mathbf{c_r}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{p_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})}{q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})} \\ \end{cases}$$

 c_0

$$+ \begin{cases} c_0(x) f(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + c_0(x) \frac{p_0(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \\ c_1(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + c_1(x) \frac{p_1(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \\ c_2(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + c_2(x) \frac{p_2(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \\ \vdots \\ c_r(x) \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r} f(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + c_r(x) \frac{p_r(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \end{cases}$$

υι

$$+ \begin{cases} c_0(x) f(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + c_0(x) \frac{p_0(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \\ c_1(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + c_1(x) \frac{p_1(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \\ c_2(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + c_2(x) \frac{p_2(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \\ \vdots \\ c_r(x) \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r} f(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\cdots \right) + c_r(x) \frac{p_r(x,t)}{q(x,t)} \end{cases}$$

υι

> $c_{0}(x) p_{0}(x,t)$ $+ c_{1}(x) p_{1}(x,t)$ $+ c_{2}(x) p_{2}(x,t)$ \vdots $+ c_{r}(x) p_{r}(x,t)$ = 0

$$\begin{array}{l} c_0(x) \left(p_{0,0}(x) + p_{1,0}(x)t + \dots + p_{d,0}(x)t^d \right) \\ + c_1(x) \left(p_{0,1}(x) + p_{1,1}(x)t + \dots + p_{d,1}(x)t^d \right) \\ + c_2(x) \left(p_{0,2}(x) + p_{1,2}(x)t + \dots + p_{d,2}(x)t^d \right) \end{array}$$

$$+ \frac{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x})}{=} \left(p_{0,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}) + p_{1,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{t} + \dots + p_{d,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{t}^{d} \right)$$
$$\stackrel{!}{=} \mathbf{0}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{0,0}(x) & p_{0,1}(x) & \cdots & p_{d,r}(x) \\ p_{1,0}(x) & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ p_{d,0}(x) & \cdots & \cdots & p_{d,r}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_0(x) \\ c_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ c_r(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{0,0}(x) & p_{0,1}(x) & \cdots & p_{d,r}(x) \\ p_{1,0}(x) & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ p_{d,0}(x) & \cdots & \cdots & p_{d,r}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_0(x) \\ c_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ c_r(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{0,0}(x) & p_{0,1}(x) & \cdots & p_{d,r}(x) \\ p_{1,0}(x) & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ p_{d,0}(x) & \cdots & \cdots & p_{d,r}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_0(x) \\ c_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ c_r(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- $\bullet\,$ Note: A nontrivial solution is guaranteed as soon as r>d
- Recall:

 $\deg_t p_i(x,t) \leq d < \deg_t \mathfrak{q}(x,t) < \deg_t [[\text{denom. of } f(x,t)]]$

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{0,0}(x) & p_{0,1}(x) & \cdots & p_{d,r}(x) \\ p_{1,0}(x) & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ p_{d,0}(x) & \cdots & \cdots & p_{d,r}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_0(x) \\ c_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ c_r(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- $\bullet\,$ Note: A nontrivial solution is guaranteed as soon as r>d
- Recall:

 $\deg_t p_i(x,t) \leq d < \deg_t q(x,t) < \deg_t [[\text{denom. of } f(x,t)]]$

• In general, we can't do better.

Our contribution (Chen, Huang, Kauers, Li; ISSAC'15):

An analogous algorithm for summation instead of integration, with f(n, k) being hypergeometric instead of f(x, t) being rational.

Our contribution (Chen, Huang, Kauers, Li; ISSAC'15):

An analogous algorithm for summation instead of integration, with f(n, k) being hypergeometric instead of f(x, t) being rational.

• An adapted version of the so-called Abramov-Petkovsek reduction plays the role of Hermite reduction.

Our contribution (Chen, Huang, Kauers, Li; ISSAC'15):

An analogous algorithm for summation instead of integration, with f(n,k) being hypergeometric instead of f(x,t) being rational.

- An adapted version of the so-called Abramov-Petkovsek reduction plays the role of Hermite reduction.
- Technical difficulty: some extra work is needed to enforce a finite common denominator.

Example: $f(n,k) = {\binom{n}{k}}^3$.

$$f(n,k) = \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n^2 - n + 3k(k - n + 1) + 1)}{(k+1)^3} \binom{n}{k}^3$$

$$\begin{split} f(n,k) &= \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n^2 - n + 3k(k - n + 1) + 1)}{(k+1)^3} \binom{n}{k}^3 \\ f(n+1,k) &= \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{(n+1)^3(n+2)(6k^2n^5 + 42k^2n^4 + \dots + 48)}{(k+2)^3n(n^8 + 9n^7 + \dots + 6)} \binom{n}{k}^3 \end{split}$$

$$f(n,k) = \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n^2 - n + 3k(k-n+1) + 1)}{(k+1)^3} {\binom{n}{k}}^3$$

$$F(n+1,k) = \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{(n+1)^3(n+2)(6k^2n^5 + 42k^2n^4 + \dots + 48)}{(k+2)^3n(n^8 + 9n^7 + \dots + 6)} {\binom{n}{k}}^3$$

$$f(n,k) = \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n^2 - n + 3k(k-n+1) + 1)}{(k+1)^3} {\binom{n}{k}}^3$$

$$F(n+1,k) = \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{(n+1)^3}{(k+1)^3} {\binom{n}{k}}^3$$

$$f(n,k) = \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n^2 - n + 3k(k-n+1) + 1)}{(k+1)^3} {\binom{n}{k}}^3$$

$$f(n+1,k) = \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{(n+1)^3}{(k+1)^3} {\binom{n}{k}}^3$$

$$\begin{split} f(n,k) &= \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n^2 - n + 3k(k - n + 1) + 1)}{(k+1)^3} \binom{n}{k}^3 \\ f(n+1,k) &= \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{(n+1)^3}{(k+1)^3} \binom{n}{k}^3 \\ f(n+2,k) &= \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{\frac{(n+1)^3}{(n+2)^2}(11n^2 - 12nk + 17n + 20 + 12k + 12k^2)}{(k+1)^3} \binom{n}{k}^3 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} f(n,k) &= \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n^2 - n + 3k(k - n + 1) + 1)}{(k+1)^3} \binom{n}{k}^3 \\ f(n+1,k) &= \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{(n+1)^3}{(k+1)^3} \binom{n}{k}^3 \\ f(n+2,k) &= \Delta_k \left(\cdots \right) + \frac{\frac{(n+1)^3}{(n+2)^2}(11n^2 - 12nk + 17n + 20 + 12k + 12k^2)}{(k+1)^3} \binom{n}{k}^3 \end{split}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n^2-n+3k(k-n+1)+1)$$

$$(n+1)^3$$

$$\frac{(n+1)^3}{(n+2)^2}(11n^2-12nk+17n+20+12k+12k^2)$$

 $\overline{(n+2)^2}$

$$\frac{8(n+1)^3}{2}(n+1)(n^2-n+3k(k-n+1)+1)$$

 $+(7n^2+21n+16)(n+1)^3$

$$+ (n+2)^2 \frac{(n+1)^3}{(n+2)^2} (11n^2 - 12nk + 17n + 20 + 12k + 12k^2)$$

$$= 0$$

Example: $f(n, k) = {\binom{n}{k}}^3$. Therefore

$$\begin{split} 8(n+1)^2 f(n,k) + (7n^2+21n+16) f(n+1,k) - (n+2)^2 f(n+2,k) \\ &= g(n,k+1) - g(n,k) \end{split}$$

for some (messy) g(n, k).

Example: $f(n, k) = {\binom{n}{k}}^3$. Therefore

 $\frac{8(n+1)^2 f(n,k) + (7n^2+21n+16) f(n+1,k) - (n+2)^2 f(n+2,k)}{g(n,k+1) - g(n,k)}$

for some (messy) g(n, k). Therefore, for $F(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {\binom{n}{k}}^3$ we have $8(n+1)^2 F(n) + (7n^2+21n+16)F(n+1) - (n+2)^2F(n+2) = 0$

The four generations of creative telescoping algorithms:

- 1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm
- 2 Zeilberger's algorithm and its generalizations (since pprox 1990)
- **3** The Apagodu-Zeilberger ansatz (since \approx 2005)
- 4 Hermite-Reduction based methods (since \approx 2010)

The four generations of creative telescoping algorithms:

- 1 Elimination in operator algebras / Sister Celine's algorithm
- 2 Zeilberger's algorithm and its generalizations (since \approx 1990)
- **3** The Apagodu-Zeilberger ansatz (since \approx 2005)
- 4 Hermite-Reduction based methods (since \approx 2010)