

Clonoids

Erhard Aichinger

Institute for Algebra
Johannes Kepler University Linz
Linz, Austria

BLAST, Las Cruces (NM), USA, June 2021

Supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) : P29931 and P33878

Outline

Definition

Occurrences of clonoids

The finite relatedness result

Description of concrete clonoids

Definition of Clonoids

Setup:

- A ... set
- \mathbf{B} ... algebra
- C ... finitary functions from A to B , hence
- $C \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B^{A^n}$
- $C^{[k]} := C \cap B^{A^k}$ (k -ary functions in C).

$C \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B^{A^n}$ is a

clonoid with source set A and target algebra \mathbf{B} : \iff

- ▶ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$: $C^{[k]}$ is a subuniverse of \mathbf{B}^{A^k} , and
- ▶ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^k$, and for all $c \in C^{[k]}$, the function $c' : A^n \rightarrow B$ defined by

$$c'(a_1, \dots, a_n) := c(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k})$$

satisfies $c' \in C^{[n]}$.

Definition as stable classes

Composition of function classes

[Couceiro and Foldes, Acta Cybernetica 2007]

$\text{Fin}(A, B) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B^{A^n}$ = all finitary functions from A to B

$X \subseteq \text{Fin}(A, B)$, $Y \subseteq \text{Fin}(B, C)$

$YX := \{g(f_1, \dots, f_n) \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}, g \in Y^{[n]}, f_1, \dots, f_n \in X^{[m]}\}.$

Associativity Lemma [Couceiro and Foldes]

Let $J := \{\pi_i^{(n)} : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_i \mid n, i \in \mathbb{N}, i \leq n\}.$

Then $(XY)Z \subseteq X(YZ) \subseteq (X(YJ))Z.$

Names of closed sets of finitary functions

$X \subseteq \text{Fin}(A, A)$ is a **clone** $\iff J \subseteq X, XX \subseteq X$.

- ▶ $\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto p(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]\}$ is a clone on \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ For every universal algebra $\mathbf{A} = (A, f_1, \dots, f_k)$, the set of **term functions** of \mathbf{A} is a clone on A denoted by $\text{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$.
- ▶ $J_A := \{\pi_i^{[n]}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_i \mid n, i \in \mathbb{N}, i \leq n\}$ is the smallest clone on the set A and called the **clone of projections**.

Names of closed sets of finitary functions

Let C be a clone on A , and let D be a clone on B .

$X \subseteq \text{Fin}(A, B)$ is

- ▶ **(C, D) -stable** [Couceiro and Foldes 2009] $\iff XC \subseteq X$ and $DX \subseteq X$.
- ▶ **minor closed set** or **minion** $\iff XJ_A \subseteq X \iff X$ is (J_A, J_B) -stable.
- ▶ If \mathbf{B} is an algebra, then X is a **clonoid with source set A and target algebra \mathbf{B}** $\iff X$ is $(J_A, \text{Clo}(\mathbf{B}))$ -stable.

Basic Theory of Clonoids

- ▶ $X \subseteq \text{Fin}(A, B)$ is a clonoid from A into $\mathbf{B} = (B; G)$
 \iff there exists a two-sorted algebra $\mathbf{C} = ((A, B); F \cup G)$ with $F \subseteq \text{Fin}(A, B)$ and $G \subseteq \text{Fin}(B, B)$ such that

X is the set of (finitary) **term functions** from A to B .

- ▶ Let $R \subseteq A^I$, $S \subseteq B^I$, X clonoid from A to $(B; G)$.
The pair (R, S) is **preserved** by X
 $\iff (R, S)$ is a subuniverse of $((A, B); X)^I \iff XR \subseteq S$.
Then (R, S) is an **invariant relation** of X .

Basic Theory of clonoids

The “Polym-Inv”-Theorem

Let A be finite, $f : A^n \rightarrow B$, X a minion from A to B . If f preserves

$$(\{\pi_i^{(n)} \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}, X^{[n]}) \in P(A^{A^n}) \times P(B^{A^n}),$$

then $f \in X$.

Hence each clonoid with finite source is determined by its finitary invariant relation pairs.

Basic Theory of clonoids

The “Inv-Polym”-Theorem [Pippenger 2002]

Let A, B be finite, $R \subseteq A^k, S \subseteq B^k$. Let

$$X = \{f : A^n \rightarrow B \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, f \text{ preserves } (R, S)\}.$$

If $(C, D) \leq ((A, B), X)^m$, then (C, D) can be obtained from (R, S) using

▶ **direct products**

▶ **minors:** $\exists \sigma$ with
$$\begin{cases} C &= \{(c_1, \dots, c_m) \in A^m \mid (c_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, c_{\sigma(k)}) \in R\} \\ D &= \{(d_1, \dots, d_m) \in B^m \mid (d_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, d_{\sigma(k)}) \in S\} \end{cases}$$

▶ **projections:** $\exists \sigma$ with
$$\begin{cases} C &= \{(c_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, c_{\sigma(m)}) \mid (c_1, \dots, c_k) \in R\} \\ D &= \{(d_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, d_{\sigma(m)}) \mid (d_1, \dots, d_k) \in S\} \end{cases}$$

▶ **relaxations:** If $f : A^n \rightarrow B$ preserves (R, S) , then it also preserves (R', S') with $R' \subseteq R, S' \subseteq S$.

Occurrences of clonoids

Clonoids inside clones

Given: an algebra \mathbf{A} .

Asked: describe the polynomial functions of \mathbf{A} .

Example: On a finite field, every function is a polynomial function.

On the lattice $(\{0, 1\}, \vee, \wedge)$, every monotonic function is polynomial.

In describing $\text{Pol}(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \text{Fin}(A, A)$, one often describes **clonoids** inside $\text{Pol}(\mathbf{A})$.

Clonoids inside clones

Let \mathbf{A} be a universal algebra, and let $\rho \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$, $o \in A$.

▶ $P_0 =$ those functions that fix $o = \text{Polym}(\{o\}, \{o\})$.

▶ All functions that map into $o/\rho = \text{Polym}(A, o/\rho)$.

This is a $(\text{Pol}(\mathbf{A}), P_0)$ -stable class.

For submodules I, J of an R -module M , the *Noetherian quotient*

$(I : J) = \{r \in R \mid rJ \subseteq I\}$ “is” $\text{Polym}(J, I) \cap \text{Clo}^{[1]}({}_R M)$.

▶ All functions that are constant on ρ -cosets = $\text{Polym}(\rho, =_A)$.

This is a $(\text{Pol}(\mathbf{A}), \text{Pol}(\mathbf{A}))$ -stable class.

▶ All functions that map into one ρ -class = $\text{Polym}(A \times A, \rho)$. This is a $(\text{Pol}(\mathbf{A}), \text{Pol}(\mathbf{A}))$ -stable class.

For example, [EA, 2006] describes the polynomial functions of the finite nonsolvable special linear groups.

Occurrences in clone theory

We let A, B be abelian groups, $f : A^n \rightarrow B$.

- ▶ For $a \in A^n$, $\Delta_a(f)(x) := f(x + a) - f(x)$.
- ▶ $\text{FDEG}(f) :=$ the minimal $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\Delta_{a_1} \Delta_{a_2} \cdots \Delta_{a_{k+1}} f = 0$ for all $a_1, \dots, a_{k+1} \in A^n$.
- ▶ **Intuitive:** $f : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2 \iff f''' = 0$.

By [Leibman 2002], we have

Lemma

Let $\text{End}(A)$ be the set of all endomorphisms from A^n to A , $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$D_k := \{f : A^n \rightarrow B \mid \text{FDEG}(f) \leq k\}$$

is an $(\text{End}(A), \text{End}(B))$ -stable class.

Clonoids in universal algebraic geometry

Let $S \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(A^n)$ be a system of finitary relations on A . Then:

- ▶ If for all $n, k, \sigma : [n] \rightarrow [k]$, $B \in S$ with $B \subseteq A^n$,

$$B_\sigma := \{(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in A^k \mid (s_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, s_{\sigma(n)}) \in B\} = \{s \in A^k \mid s \circ \sigma \in B\}$$

satisfies $B_\sigma \in S$, then the set of characteristic functions

$$C_S := \{\chi_B \mid B \in S\}$$

is a clonoid from A into $\{0, 1\}$ called **the characteristic clonoid** of S . B_σ is a **minor** of B .

- ▶ If S is closed under intersections, C_S is a clonoid from A into $(\{0, 1\}, \wedge)$.
- ▶ If S is closed under intersections and unions, C_S is a clonoid from A into $(\{0, 1\}, \wedge, \vee)$.

Minor closed subsets in complexity theory

We look for an algorithm that does the following:

Input: A finite graph G .

Output: **Yes** if G is 3-colorable. **No** if G is not even 100-colorable.

Do not bother about borderline cases (G is 100-colorable and not 3-colorable).

This is $\text{PCSP}(\mathbb{K}_3, \mathbb{K}_{100})$, where $\mathbb{K}_n = ([n], \neq)$.

Theorem [Pippenger 2002; Brakensiek, Guruswami 2019; Barto, Bulín, Krokhin, Opršal 2019]

If $\text{Polym}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \subseteq \text{Polym}(\mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}')$, then $\text{PCSP}(\mathbb{A}', \mathbb{B}')$ is polynomial-time reducible to $\text{PCSP}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$.

Clonoids in equational logic

The equational theory of W in \mathbf{A} [EA and Mayr 2016]

\mathbf{A} algebra, W subvariety of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$.

$$\text{Th}_{\mathbf{A}}(W) := \{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} s^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{a}) \\ t^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{a}) \end{pmatrix} \mid k \in \mathbb{N},$$

s, t are k -variable terms in the language of \mathbf{A} with $W \models s \approx t\}$.

$\text{Th}_{\mathbf{A}}(W)$ is a clonoid with source set A and target algebra $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$.

These clonoids from A into the algebra $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$ can distinguish all subvarieties of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$.

The finite relatedness result

Finite relatedness of Mal'cev clonoids

Theorem [EA Mayr McKenzie 2014, 2016]

Let A be finite, \mathbf{B} be a finite algebra with a Mal'cev term, and let C be a clonoid from A to \mathbf{B} . Then

1. There are $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $R \subseteq A^n$, $S \leq \mathbf{B}^n$ such that $C = \text{Polym}(R, S)$.
2. There is no infinite descending chain of clonoids from A to \mathbf{B} ,

Corollary

Every subvariety of a finitely generated variety with Mal'cev term is finitely generated.

We will now explain the main steps in the proof.

Forks

Definition of Forks

Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let F be a subuniverse of \mathbf{A}^m . For $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we define the relation $\text{Forks}_i(F)$ on A by

$$\text{Forks}_i(F) := \{(a_i, b_i) \mid (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in F, (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in F, \\ (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}) = (b_1, \dots, b_{i-1})\}.$$

If $(c, d) \in \text{Forks}_i(F)$, we call (c, d) a **fork of F at i** .

If

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &= (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, c, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_m) \in F \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{v} &= (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, d, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_m) \in F, \end{aligned}$$

then (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) is a **witness of the fork (c, d) at i** .

Forks in linear algebra

Let $\mathbf{F} \leq (\mathbb{Z}_5, +)^4$ with $\mathbf{F} = \langle (1, 2, 2, 2), (3, 1, 4, 2), (1, 2, 0, 3) \rangle$. When we compute the row echelon form of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain that $(0, 1)$ is a fork of \mathbf{F} at 1 with witness $(0, 0, 0, 0), (1, 2, 0, 3)$ and that $(0, 1)$ is a fork of \mathbf{F} at 3 with witness $(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)$.

Significance of forks

Let \mathbf{A} be an algebra with a Mal'cev term, and let $\mathbf{F} \leq \mathbf{A}^m$.

- ▶ Let $G \subseteq F$ be such that G contains at least one witness for each fork of \mathbf{F} . Then G generates \mathbf{F} .

Hence for $a := |A| < \aleph_0$, \mathbf{F} can be generated by $a^2 \cdot m$ elements and thus

$$\# \text{Sub}(\mathbf{A}^m) \leq \binom{a^m}{a^2 m} \leq a^{m \cdot a^2 \cdot m} \leq 2^{C(a)m^2}.$$

- ▶ (The **Fork Lemma**)

If $\mathbf{E} \leq \mathbf{F}$ and every fork of \mathbf{F} is a fork of \mathbf{E} , then $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{F}$.

Representation of Clonoids

We represent a clonoid C with source set $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$ and target algebra \mathbf{B} using **forks**.

For $\mathbf{a} \in A^n$, we define the forks of C at \mathbf{a} by

$$\text{Forks}(C, \mathbf{a}) := \{(f_1(\mathbf{a}), f_2(\mathbf{a})) \in B \times B \mid f_1 \in C, f_2 \in C, \\ f_1(\mathbf{z}) = f_2(\mathbf{z}) \text{ for all } \mathbf{z} \in A^n \text{ with } \mathbf{z} <_{\text{lex}} \mathbf{a}\}.$$

Fork Lemma for Clonoids

A finite set, \mathbf{B} finite algebra with Mal'cev term, C, D clonoids from A to \mathbf{B} . If $C \subseteq D$ and C and D have the same forks, then $C = D$.

Connections between forks of different arity

$\mathbf{a} \leq_E \mathbf{b} :\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{b}$ can be obtained from \mathbf{a} by inserting additional letters anywhere after their first occurrence in \mathbf{a} . Such orders are studied further in [McDevitt 2018].

$$abac \leq_E aaababbaabaccba$$

Embedded Forks Lemma ([EA Mayr McKenzie 2014] for clones)

Let A be a set and \mathbf{B} be an algebra, let C be a clonoid from A to \mathbf{B} , and let

$\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^*$ with $\mathbf{a} \leq_E \mathbf{b}$.

Then $\text{Forks}(C, \mathbf{b}) \subseteq \text{Forks}(C, \mathbf{a})$.

Facts on the embedding orderings

For a finite set A , (A^*, \leq_E) has no infinite descending chains and no infinite antichains. In other words, (A^*, \leq_E) is **well partially ordered**.

Let U be the set of upward closed subsets of (A^*, \leq_E) . Then

- ▶ (U, \subseteq) has no infinite ascending chains.

Reason: $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i$ is generated by its minimal elements $M \subseteq A^*$. As an antichain in (A^*, \leq_E) , M is finite.

Hence there is $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $M \subseteq U_j$, and thus $U_{j+1} \subseteq U_j$.

- ▶ (U, \subseteq) has no infinite antichains. Well-known; a proof in [EA and Aichinger, Expo. Math. (2020)].

Proof of the finite relatedness result

Theorem [EA Mayr McKenzie 2014, 2016]

Let A be finite, \mathbf{B} be a finite algebra with a Mal'cev term. Then there is no infinite descending chain of clonoids from A to \mathbf{B} .

Proof (sketch):

- ▶ Let $(C_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an infinite descending chain of clonoids from A to \mathbf{B} .
- ▶ For each $\mathbf{D} \leq \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$, $U_{\mathbf{D}}(C_i) := \{\mathbf{a} \in A^* \mid \text{Forks}(C_i, \mathbf{a}) \subseteq D\}$ is upward closed by the Embedded Forks Lemma.
- ▶ There is $\mathbf{D} \subseteq \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$ such that $(U_{\mathbf{D}}(C_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an infinite ascending chain. Contradiction.

A “constructive” version

Theorem [EA Mayr McKenzie 2014, 2016]

Let A be finite, \mathbf{B} be a finite algebra with a Mal'cev term, and let C be a clonoid from A to B . Let

$$m := \max\{|\mathbf{a}| \mid \exists \mathbf{D} \leq \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B} : \mathbf{a} \text{ is minimal in } U_{\mathbf{D}}(C)\}.$$

Then $C = \text{Polym}(R; S)$ with $R \subseteq A^{|A|^m}$ and $S \leq \mathbf{B}^{|A|^m}$.

We do not know how to compute m . Computing m would allow us to decide:

Given: $F \subseteq_{\text{fin}} \text{Fin}(B, B)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho \subseteq B^k$.

Asked: $\text{Clo}_B(F \cup \{d\}) = \text{Polym}(\rho)$.

Note that \subseteq is easy to check.

Open problems - clones and clonoids

1. Release finiteness of A or \mathbf{B} .
2. A finite, \mathbf{B} Mal'cev algebra. Is there an infinite antichain of clonoids from A to \mathbf{B} ?
3. Is there an infinite antichain of clones with a Mal'cev term on a finite set?

Significance of “no antichains”

We imitate [Robertson and Seymour, *Graph minors. XX.* 2004].

Fact

A finite, d Mal'cev, f, g operations on A .

Suppose that there is no infinite antichain of clones on A containing d . We define

$$f \leq_d g : \iff f \in \text{Clo}_A(g, d).$$

Let ψ be a property of operations such that

$$g \models \psi, f \leq_d g \Rightarrow f \models \psi.$$

Then ψ can be decided in polynomial time in $\|f\| \sim |A|^{\text{arity}(f)}$.

Proof: ψ has finitely many minimal counterexamples g_1, \dots, g_k . The property $g_i \in \text{Clo}_A(f, d)$ can be checked “easily”.

Open problems - varieties

The “no descending chains” result for clonoids yields:

Theorem [EA and Mayr 2016]

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite algebra with a Mal'cev term. Then every subvariety of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is generated by a finite algebra.

Open problems:

- ▶ Given \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} similar finite algebras with Mal'cev term, $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A}) \cap \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{B})$ is therefore finitely generated. Can you give an upper bound for the size of a generator?
- ▶ Is there a finitely generated variety with a Mal'cev term (of finite type) with an infinite antichain of subvarieties? (Infinite ascending chains cannot exist, and infinite descending chains sometimes do exist; pointed group [Bryant 1982]).

Description of concrete clonoids

Clonoids closed under near-unanimity terms

$t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is NU-term \iff

$t(x, y, y, \dots, y) = t(y, x, y, \dots, y) = \dots = t(y, y, \dots, x) = y$ for all x, y .

Theorem [Baker and Pixley 1975; Sparks 2019]

A finite, \mathbf{B} algebra with n -ary NU-term Let C, D clonoids from A to \mathbf{B} that have the same functions of arity $|A|^{n-1}$. Then $C = D$.

Remark: For clones, $|A|^{n-1}$ can be improved [Lakser, 1989], [Kerkhoff 2011], [Kerkhoff and Zhuk, 2014].

Clonoids closed under near-unanimity terms: a logical consequence

For a set of f.o. formulas Φ in the language of the f.o. structure \mathbf{A} , let

$$\text{Def}^{[n]}(\Phi) := \left\{ \{ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n \mid \mathbf{A} \models \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n) \} \mid \right. \\ \left. \varphi \in \Phi \text{ with } \text{freeVars}(\varphi) \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \right\}.$$

Corollary [EA and Rossi 2020]

Let \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_2 be f.o. structures on a finite set A . For each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let Φ_i be a set of f.o. formulas in the language of \mathbf{A}_i that is closed under \wedge , \vee , and substituting variables. Then $\text{Def}(\mathbf{A}_1, \Phi_1) = \text{Def}(\mathbf{A}_2, \Phi_2)$ if and only if $\text{Def}^{[|A|^2]}(\mathbf{A}_1, \Phi_1) = \text{Def}^{[|A|^2]}(\mathbf{A}_2, \Phi_2)$.

Clonoids closed under near-unanimity terms

By Sparks' Theorem, there are only finitely many clonoids from A into an algebra with NU-term.

Open problem [Sparks 2019]

Is there a finite set A and an algebra \mathbf{B} such that

- ▶ there are only finitely many clonoids from A to \mathbf{B} and
- ▶ $|A| > 1$ and \mathbf{B} has no NU-term?

Clonoids with 2-element target

Theorem [Sparks 2019]

For $|\mathbf{B}| = 2$ and finite A , let c be the number of clonoids from A to \mathbf{B} . Then:

1. $c < \aleph_0 \iff \mathbf{B}$ has an NU-term.
2. $c = \aleph_0 \iff \mathbf{B}$ has a Mal'cev term and no NU-term.
3. $c = 2^{\aleph_0} \iff \mathbf{B}$ has neither NU nor Mal'cev term.

Linearly closed clonoids

Motivation: Bulatov and Idziak described all extensions of

$$\text{Pol}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p, +) = \text{Clo}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p, +, \mathbf{1}).$$

We try to describe extensions of $\text{Clo}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p, +)$.

Linear closed clonoids from \mathbb{Z}_p to \mathbb{Z}_p

First step:

Theorem [Kreinecker 2020]

Let $L := \text{Clo}(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$. For every (L, L) -stable subclass C of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, there is $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

- ▶ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (n \in M \wedge n > p - 1) \Rightarrow n - (p - 1) \in M.$
- ▶ $f \in C \iff f$ is induced by a polynomial such that the total degree of each monomial is in $M.$

The correspondence $C \mapsto M$ is a lattice isomorphism from the set (L, L) -stable classes to the subsets of \mathbb{N}_0 satisfying the first condition.

Example: $p = 3$, $M = \{6, 4, 2\} \cup \{11, 9, 7, 5, 3, 1\}$ is minor closed,

$$x_1 x_2 x_8 x_9 x_{10}^2 + x_1^2 x_2^2 - x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7 \in C.$$

Hence the lattice of (L, L) -stable classes is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}, \subseteq)^{p-1} \times$
2-element chain.

Embedding the clonoid into a clone

Theorem [Fioravanti and Kreinecker 2020]

For an (L, L) -stable subclass C of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, define

$$\phi(C) := \left\{ ((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)) \mapsto \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i + c(y_1, \dots, y_n), \sum_{i=1}^n b_i y_i \right) \mid \right. \\ \left. a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}, c \in C \right\} \subseteq \text{Fin}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Then $\phi(C)$ is a clone. The mapping $C \mapsto \phi(C)$ is a lattice embedding.

Theorem [Kreinecker 2020]

Let $p > 2$ be a prime. Then there are infinitely many not finitely generated clones on $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ which contain $+$.

Extensions of $\text{Clo}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q, +)$

Theorem [Fioravanti 2020]

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ be squarefree. Then each clone containing $\text{Clo}(\mathbb{Z}_s, +)$ is generated by its functions of arity $\leq s$.

Lemma [Fioravanti 2019]

Let $L_p := \text{Clo}(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$ and $L_q := \text{Clo}(\mathbb{Z}_q, +)$. Then every (L_p, L_q) -stable subclass of $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_q)$ is generated by one unary function.

¡Muchas gracias por su atención y
esta invitación muy apreciada!