

Subvarieties and Clones

Erhard Aichinger and Peter Mayr

Department of Algebra
Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria

February 2014, AAA87

Supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF)
P24077 and P24285



Main question in this talk

Question

Are subvarieties of finitely generated varieties again finitely generated?

Answer

Sometimes.

Goal

Improve.

We will study:

- *classes of algebras* of with the same operation symbols (of the same *type*) $\mathcal{F}$.
- Example: $\mathcal{F} := \{\cdot, ^{-1}, 1\}$, $K :=$ class of all groups.
- *identities*: $s(x_1, \dots, x_k) \approx t(x_1, \dots, x_k)$.
- Example:
 $\Phi = \{(x \cdot y) \cdot z \approx x \cdot (y \cdot z), 1 \cdot x \approx x, x^{-1} \cdot x \approx 1, x^6 \approx y^{15}\}$.
- *Validity of identities* in an algebra $\mathbf{A}$ of type $\mathcal{F}$.
- Example: $\mathbf{A} \models \Phi \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is a group of exponent 1 or 3.

Theorem [Birkhoff, 1935, Theorem 10]

Let K be a nonempty class of algebras of the same type $\mathcal{F}$. TFAE:

- 1 $\exists$ set of identities $\Phi : K = \{\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{A} \text{ is of type } \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \mathbf{A} \models \Phi\}$.
(*Meaning: K can be defined using identities.*)
- 2 K is closed under taking
 - $\mathbb{H}$ homomorphic images
 - $\mathbb{S}$ subalgebras
 - $\mathbb{P}$ cartesian products.

A class K of algebras that can be defined by a set of identities is called a *variety*.

Finitely generated varieties

Definition

A algebra. $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A}) :=$ the smallest variety that contains **A**.

Theorem

$\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{HSP}(\mathbf{A})$.

Theorem

$\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if $\forall s, t : \mathbf{A} \models s \approx t \Rightarrow \mathbf{B} \models s \approx t$.

Definition

A variety V is *finitely generated* $:\Leftrightarrow$ there is a finite algebra **A** with $V = \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$.

Finite Generation of Subvarieties

Theorem (follows from [Jónsson, 1967])

Let $\mathbf{L}$ be a finite lattice. Then every subvariety of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{L})$ is finitely generated.

Proof: $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{L})$ contains, up to isomorphism, only finitely many subdirectly irreducible lattices (Jónsson's Lemma).

Theorem [Oates and Powell, 1964]

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a finite group. Then every subvariety of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{G})$ is finitely generated.

Proof: $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{G})$ contains, up to isomorphism, only finitely many groups $\mathbf{H}$ with $\mathbf{H} \notin \mathbb{V}(\{\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{H}), |\mathbf{A}| < |\mathbf{H}|\})$. (Long proof using “critical groups”.)

Note that both $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{G})$ and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{L})$ contain only *finitely many* subvarieties.

Finite Generation of Subvarieties

Theorem [Bryant, 1982]

There is an expansion of a finite group with one constant operation such that the variety generated by this algebra has infinitely many subvarieties.

They might all be finitely generated, though.

Theorem [Oates MacDonald and Vaughan-Lee, 1978]

There is a three-element algebra $\mathbf{M} = (M, *, c)$ such that $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{M})$ has subvarieties that are not f.g.

Recognizing f.g. subvarieties

Lemma [Oates MacDonald and Vaughan-Lee, 1978]

V f.g. variety. TFAE:

- 1 The subvarieties of V , ordered by $\subseteq$, satisfy (ACC).
- 2 Every subvariety of V is f.g.

Lemma

V f.g. variety. TFAE:

- 1 The subvarieties of V , ordered by $\subseteq$, satisfy (DCC).
- 2 For every subvariety W of V there is a finite set of identities Φ with $W = \{\mathbf{A} \in V \mid \mathbf{A} \models \Phi\}$. (W is finitely based relative to V .)

Equational theory of W in $\mathbf{A}$

Definition [Aichinger and Mayr, 2014]

$\mathbf{A}$ algebra, W subvariety of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$.

$$\mathbf{Th}_{\mathbf{A}}(W) := \{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} s^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{a}) \\ t^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{a}) \end{pmatrix} \mid k \in \mathbb{N},$$

s, t are k -variable terms in the language of $\mathbf{A}$

with $W \models s \approx t\}$.

Examples

- ❶ $\mathbf{Th}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})) = \{(t, t) \mid t \in \text{Clo}(\mathbf{A})\}$.
- ❷ $\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{S}_3$, $W := \{\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{S}_3) \mid \mathbf{G} \text{ is abelian}\}$. Then
 $((\frac{\pi_1}{\pi_2}) \mapsto (\frac{\pi_1^{-1} \circ \pi_2 \circ \pi_1}{\pi_2})) \in \mathbf{Th}_{\mathbf{S}_3}(W)$.
- ❸ $W :=$ class of one element algebras of type $\mathcal{F}$. Then
 $\mathbf{Th}_{\mathbf{A}}(W) = \{(s, t) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, s, t \in \text{Clo}_k(\mathbf{A})\}$.

Distinguishing subvarieties of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ inside A

Lemma

$\mathbf{A}$ be algebra, W_1 and W_2 subvarieties of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$. Then we have:

$$W_1 \subseteq W_2 \text{ if and only if } \mathbf{Th}_{\mathbf{A}}(W_2) \subseteq \mathbf{Th}_{\mathbf{A}}(W_1).$$

What is

$$\mathbf{Th}_{\mathbf{A}}(W) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} s^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{a}) \\ t^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{a}) \end{pmatrix} \mid k \in \mathbb{N},$$

s, t are k -variable terms in the language of $\mathbf{A}$

with $W \models s \approx t\}$?

$\mathbf{Th}_{\mathbf{A}}(W)$ is a *clonoid* with source set A and target algebra $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$.

Definition of Clonoids

A ... set
 B ... algebra
 $\mathbf{C}$... finitary functions from A to B
 $\mathbf{C} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B^{A^n}$
 $\mathbf{C}^{[k]} := \mathbf{C} \cap B^{A^k}$ (k -ary functions in $\mathbf{C}$).

Definition

B algebra, A nonempty set, $\mathbf{C} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B^{A^n}$. $\mathbf{C}$ is a *clonoid with source set A and target algebra $\mathbf{B}$* if

- 1 for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$: $\mathbf{C}^{[k]}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{B}^{A^k}$, and
- 2 for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^k$, and for all $c \in \mathbf{C}^{[k]}$, the function $c' : A^n \rightarrow B$ defined by

$$c'(a_1, \dots, a_n) := c(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k})$$

satisfies $c' \in \mathbf{C}^{[n]}$.

Representation of Clonoids

We represent a clonoid $\mathbf{C}$ with source set $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$ and target algebra $\mathbf{B}$ using **forks**.

Definition (forks of $\mathbf{B}^{A^n}$ at $\mathbf{a}$)

For $\mathbf{a} \in A^n$, let

$$\varphi(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{a}) := \{ (f_1(\mathbf{a}), f_2(\mathbf{a})) \in B \times B \mid \\ f_1(\mathbf{z}) = f_2(\mathbf{z}) \text{ for all } \mathbf{z} \in A^n \text{ with } \mathbf{z} <_{\text{lex}} \mathbf{a} \}.$$

Use of forks

- [Berman et al., 2010, Corollary 3.9]
- [Aichinger, 2000, Proof of Proposition 3.1]

Mal'cev and edge terms

Mal'cev terms

A ternary term t is a *Mal'cev term* on $\mathbf{A}$ if for all $a, b \in A$:

$$t^{\mathbf{A}}(a, a, b) = t^{\mathbf{A}}(b, a, a) = b.$$

Edge terms

For $k \geq 3$, a $(k + 1)$ -ary term is a *k-edge term* on $\mathbf{A}$ if for all $a, b \in A$:

$$t^{\mathbf{A}}(a, a, b, b, b, \dots, b) = b$$

$$t^{\mathbf{A}}(b, a, a, b, b, \dots, b) = b$$

$$t^{\mathbf{A}}(b, b, b, a, b, \dots, b) = b$$

$\vdots$

$$t^{\mathbf{A}}(b, b, b, b, b, \dots, a) = b$$

(still wrong!)

Mal'cev and edge terms

Mal'cev terms

A ternary term t is a *Mal'cev term* on $\mathbf{A}$ if for all $a, b \in A$:

$$t^{\mathbf{A}}(a, a, b) = t^{\mathbf{A}}(b, a, a) = b.$$

Edge terms

For $k \geq 3$, a $(k + 1)$ -ary term is a *k-edge term* on $\mathbf{A}$ if for all $a, b \in A$:

$$t^{\mathbf{A}}(a, a, b, b, b, \dots, b) = b$$

$$t^{\mathbf{A}}(a, b, a, b, b, \dots, b) = b$$

$$t^{\mathbf{A}}(b, b, b, a, b, \dots, b) = b$$

$$\vdots$$

$$t^{\mathbf{A}}(b, b, b, b, b, \dots, a) = b$$

Theorem [Berman et al., 2010]

A finite algebra. **A** has an edge term $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[t] \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : |\text{Sub}(\mathbf{A}^n)| \leq 2^{p(n)}$.

Representation of Clonoids by forks

Lemma (cf., e.g., [Aichinger, 2010])

A finite set, **B** finite algebra with Mal'cev term, **C**, **D** clonoids with source set *A* and target algebra **B**. If

- ❶ $\mathbf{C} \subseteq \mathbf{D}$,
- ❷ $\varphi(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{a}) = \varphi(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{a})$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in A^*$,

then $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{D}$.

Lemma [Aichinger and Mayr, 2014]

A finite set, **B** finite algebra with *k*-edge term, **C**, **D** clonoids with source set *A* and target algebra **B**. If

- ❶ $\mathbf{C} \subseteq \mathbf{D}$,
- ❷ $\varphi(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{a}) = \varphi(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{a})$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in A^*$,
- ❸ $\mathbf{C}[|A|^{k-1}] = \mathbf{D}[|A|^{k-1}]$,

then $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{D}$.

Connection between different arities

“Definition”

$\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in A^*$. $\mathbf{v} \leq_E \mathbf{w} : \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{w}$ can be obtained from $\mathbf{v}$ by inserting letters. The insertion $\mathbf{xy} \rightarrow \mathbf{xay}$ is allowed only if a appears in $\mathbf{x}$.

- 1 $abab \leq_E aabaabb$, since
 $abab \rightarrow aabab \rightarrow aabaab \rightarrow aabaabb = aabaabb$.
- 2 $aab \not\leq_E abab$.

Theorem [Higman, 1952], [Aichinger et al., 2011]

A finite. $(A^*, \leq_E)$ has no infinite antichains.

Theorem - the connection between forks of different arity
[Aichinger and Mayr, 2014]

$\mathbf{C}$ clonoid with source A and target $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{a} \in A^m$, $\mathbf{b} \in A^n$. If $\mathbf{a} \leq_E \mathbf{b}$, then $\varphi(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{b}) \subseteq \varphi(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{a})$.

Outline of the order theoretic argument

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a clonoid with finite source A and finite target algebra $\mathbf{B}$ *with an edge term*. Suppose that we have

$\mathbf{C}_1 \supset \mathbf{C}_2 \supset \dots$ (descending chain of subclonoids).

From this chain, we construct (using edge terms and the “fork” lemmas)

$U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \dots$ (ascending chain of upward closed subsets of $(A^*, \leq_E)$).

Now let

$M :=$ minimal elements of $\bigcup U_i$ w.r.t $\leq_E$.

Then M is an infinite antichain in $(A^*, \leq_E)$.

This contradicts Higman’s Theorem (its modification by Aichinger/Mayr/McKenzie).

Subvarieties of f.g. varieties

Theorem [Aichinger and Mayr, 2014]

A finite set, $\mathbf{B}$ finite algebra with edge term.

$\mathcal{C} := \{\mathbf{C} \mid \mathbf{C} \text{ is clonoid with source } A \text{ and target } \mathbf{B}\}.$

Then $(\mathcal{C}, \subseteq)$ satisfies the (DCC).

Theorem [Aichinger and Mayr, 2014]

$\mathbf{A}$ finite algebra with edge term, $\mathcal{W} :=$ subvarieties of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$. Then:

- $(\mathcal{W}, \subseteq)$ satisfies the (ACC).
- Every subvariety of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is f.g.

Proof: From $W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \dots$,

we obtain $\mathbf{Th}_{\mathbf{A}}(W_1) \supset \mathbf{Th}_{\mathbf{A}}(W_2) \supset \dots$,

which is an infinite descending chains of clonoids with source A and target $\mathbf{B} := \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$. Contradiction.

(DCC) for subvarieties

Theorem [Aichinger and Mayr, 2014]

A finite algebra with edge term. Then every subvariety of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is f.g.

Corollary [Aichinger and Mayr, 2014]

A finite algebra with an edge term. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1 There is no infinite descending chain of subvarieties of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$.
- 2 Each $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is finitely based relative to $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$.
- 3 $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ has only finitely many subvarieties.
- 4 $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ contains, up to isomorphism, only finitely many cardinality critical members.

B is *cardinality critical* $:\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{B} \notin \mathbb{V}(\{\mathbf{C} \mid \mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{B}), |\mathbf{C}| < |\mathbf{B}|\})$.



Aichinger, E. (2000).

On Hagemann's and Herrmann's characterization of strictly affine complete algebras.
Algebra Universalis, 44:105–121.



Aichinger, E. (2010).

Constantive Mal'cev clones on finite sets are finitely related.
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 138(10):3501–3507.



Aichinger, E. and Mayr, P. (2014).

Subvarieties of finitely generated varieties with edge term are finitely generated.
manuscript.



Aichinger, E., Mayr, P., and McKenzie, R. (2011).

On the number of finite algebraic structures.
submitted; available on arXiv:1103.2265v1 [math.RA], to appear in JEMS.



Berman, J., Idziak, P., Marković, P., McKenzie, R., Valeriote, M., and Willard, R. (2010).

Varieties with few subalgebras of powers.
Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 362(3):1445–1473.



Birkhoff, G. (1935).

On the structure of abstract algebras.
Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 31:433–454.



Bryant, R. M. (1982).

The laws of finite pointed groups.
Bull. London Math. Soc., 14(2):119–123.



Higman, G. (1952).

Ordering by divisibility in abstract algebras.
Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 2:326–336.



Jónsson, B. (1967).

Algebras whose congruence lattices are distributive.
Math. Scand., 21:110–121 (1968).



Oates, S. and Powell, M. B. (1964).

Identical relations in finite groups.

J. Algebra, 1:11–39.



Oates MacDonald, S. and Vaughan-Lee, M. R. (1978).

Varieties that make one Cross.

J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A, 26(3):368–382.

