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## Higher Commutators

Higher Commutators:
■ Higher commutators were introduced (as multi-placed commutators) in 2001 by A. Bulatov to distinguish the clones

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathbf{B}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4},+, 2 x_{1} x_{2}\right) \text { and } \\
& \operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathbf{B}_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Pol}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4},+, 2 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- These clones differ in their 8-ary invariant relations.
- The top congruence 1 satisfies $[1,1,1]_{\mathbf{B}_{2}}=0$ and $[1,1,1]_{\mathbf{B}_{3}}>0$.


## What are higher commutators?

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an algebra, $n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A})$. Then

$$
\left[\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]_{\mathbf{A}}
$$

is an element of $\operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A})$. It is called the higher commutator of $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$.
Lemma. Let $\mathbf{V}=\left(V,+,-, 0,\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ be an expanded group, and let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be ideals of $\mathbf{V}$.
Then $\left[A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right]_{\mathbf{v}}$ is the ideal generated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{p\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \mid p \in \operatorname{Pol}_{n}(\mathbf{V}), a_{1} \in A_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A_{n}\right. \\
& \left.\forall x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V: 0 \in\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \Rightarrow p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Definitions of higher commutators

■ Bulatov's definition applies to every algebraic structure $(A, F)$.

- Different types of higher commutators, the two term higher commutator and the hypercommutator, have been introduced by A. Moorhead in 2018 and 2021.


## Applications of Higher Commutators

Definition. A is supernilpotent if $\exists k \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \forall \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ :

$$
n>k \Rightarrow\left[\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]_{\mathbf{A}}=0_{A} .
$$

Theorem [G. Higman (1965) - Berman, Blok, Freese, Hobby, McKenzie A. Wires (2019)]

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a finite algebra in a cm variety. Then $\mathbf{A}$ is supernilpotent if and only if

$$
\exists p \in \mathbb{R}[x] \forall n \in \mathbb{N}:\left|\operatorname{Clo}_{n}(\mathbf{A})\right| \leq 2^{p(n)} .
$$

Theorem [Kearnes, Rasstrigin (2020)]
If $\mathbf{A}$ is two term supernilpotent, then every subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}$ is a homomorphic image of a finite subdirect power of $\mathbf{A}$.

## The sequence of higher commutator operations

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an algebra with congruence lattice $\mathbb{L}$. The higher commutator operations of $\mathbf{A}$ can be collected into the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\mathbf{A}}: \quad\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{L}^{n}\right) & \rightarrow \mathbb{L}, \\
C_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) & =\left[\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]_{\mathbf{A}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

First goal:
$\square$ Finite representation of $C_{\mathbf{A}}$ for finite $\mathbf{A}$ (or $\mathbb{L}$ ).

## The sequence of higher commutator operations

We want to represent

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\mathbf{A}}: \quad\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{L}^{n}\right) & \rightarrow \mathbb{L}, \\
C_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) & =\left[\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]_{\mathbf{A}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem

$\square C_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \leq C_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ (omission property).
$\square C_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)=C_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\alpha_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, \alpha_{\pi(n)}\right)$ for all $\pi \in S_{n}$ with $\pi(n)=n$.
$\square$ A in a cm variety $\Rightarrow C_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)=C_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\alpha_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, \alpha_{\pi(n)}\right)$ for all $\pi \in S_{n}$. (symmetry, [Moorhead 2018]).

## Encoding

We call $\mathbf{A}$ hc-symmetric if $C_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)=C_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\alpha_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, \alpha_{\pi(n)}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\pi \in S_{n}$.

For hc-symmetric finite $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbb{L}=\operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A})=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right\}$, we can compute

$$
C_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)=C_{\mathbf{A}}(\underbrace{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{1}}_{a_{1}}, \ldots, \underbrace{\lambda_{m}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}}_{a_{m}}),
$$

where $a_{j}$ is the number of occurrences of $\lambda_{j}$ in $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$.
Definition. $F: \mathbb{N}_{0}{ }^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ with

$$
F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right):=C_{\mathbf{A}}(\underbrace{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{1}}_{a_{1}}, \ldots, \underbrace{\lambda_{m}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}}_{a_{m}})
$$

is the encoding of $C_{\mathbf{A}}$.

## Encoding as an antitone function

The omission property

$$
C_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \leq C_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)
$$

and hc-symmetry imply:

$$
\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right) \leq\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right) \Rightarrow F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right) \geq F\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right) .
$$

Theorem. The encoding of $C_{\mathbf{A}}$ of a finite hc-symmetric algebra $\mathbf{A}$ is an antitone function from $\mathbb{N}_{0}{ }^{m}$ to $\mathbb{L}=\operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A})$.

## An antitone function from $\mathbb{N}_{0}{ }^{2}$ to $\{1, \ldots, 10\}$



An antitone function from $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ to $\{1, \ldots, 10\}$


## Fact on antitone functions

Let $f: \mathbb{N}_{0}{ }^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be antitone ( $\mathbb{L}$ finite). Then there is a finite set $G \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{0}{ }^{m} \times \mathbb{L}$ such that

$$
f(\boldsymbol{x})=\bigwedge\{\alpha \mid(\boldsymbol{a}, \alpha) \in G, \boldsymbol{a} \leq \boldsymbol{x}\}
$$



## Consequence for Commutators

$\square \mathbf{B}=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4},+,\left(2 x_{1} \cdots x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right), \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{B})=\{0, \alpha, 1\}$. Then $C_{\mathbf{B}}$ is the largest function with symmetry and the omission property such that

$$
[1,1]=\alpha,[1, \alpha]=0,[\alpha, \alpha]=0,[\alpha]=\alpha,[0]=0 .
$$

$\square \mathbf{B}_{3}=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4},+, 2 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right)$. Then $C_{\mathbf{B}_{3}}$ is the largest function with symmetry and the omission property such that

$$
[1,1,1,1]=0,[1,1]=\alpha,[1, \alpha]=0,[\alpha, \alpha]=0,[\alpha]=\alpha,[0]=0 .
$$

From these finite lists of commutator equalities, we can compute all higher commutators.

## Representing $C_{\mathrm{A}}$ by commutator equalities

Theorem. Let A be a finite algebra in a cm variety. Then there is a finite set $\Phi$ of commutator equalities of the form

$$
\left[\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]=\beta
$$

such that the higher commutator function $C_{\mathbf{A}}$ is the largest function with symmetry and the omission property that satisfies $\Phi$.

From $\Phi$, we can then compute all $\left[\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}\right]$.
Question: Is $\Phi$ computable from $\left(A,\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ ?

## Computing higher commutators

On input $\mathbf{A}=\left(A,\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ (finite, finite type), we can compute
■ $\left[\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]$ for each given tuple $\bar{\alpha}$ (almost by Bulatov's definition).

- If A lies in a cm variety, we can decide whether

$$
[1,1] \cap[1,1,1] \cap[1,1,1,1] \cap \cdots=0,
$$

i.e., whether $\mathbf{A}$ is supernilpotent, and hence we can compute $[1,1] \cap[1,1,1] \cap[1,1,1,1] \cap \cdots$.

- If $\mathbf{A}$ lies in a cm variety, then given $\alpha$, we can compute

$$
[\alpha, \alpha] \cap[\alpha, \alpha, \alpha] \cap[\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha] \cap \cdots .
$$

[Mayr, Á. Szendrei 2021].

# Computing higher commutators 

- We do not know whether we can compute $[\alpha, \beta] \cap[\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \beta] \cap[\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \beta, \beta, \beta] \cap \cdots$.


## Determining $C_{\mathrm{A}}$ uniquely

Observation. There is no finite set of points $P$ such that


is the only antitone function interpolating $P$.

## Determining Higher Commutators Uniquely

Proposition. For every finite set $\Psi$ and for every sequence with

$$
[1,1, \ldots, 1]_{n}>0 \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

the sequence is not determined uniquely by $\Psi$ among all sequences with symmetry and the omission property.

Solution. Allow extended equalities of the form

$$
\left[S ; \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]=\beta,
$$

where

$$
\left[S ; \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]:=\bigwedge_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \bigwedge_{\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}\right) \in S^{k}}\left[\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right] .
$$

## Determining Higher Commutators Uniquely

■ $\mathbf{B}=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4},+,\left(2 x_{1} \cdots x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right), \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{B})=\{0, \alpha, 1\}$. Then $C_{\mathbf{B}}$ is the unique function with symmetry and the omission property such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[1,1]=\alpha,[1, \alpha]=0,[\alpha, \alpha]=0,[0]=} & 0,[\alpha]=\alpha \\
& {[1]=1,[\{1\} ; 1,1]=\alpha,[\{0, \alpha, 1\} ; 1]=0, }
\end{aligned}
$$

■ Some equalities are redundant if we know more commutator properties.
■ $[\{1\} ; 1,1]=\alpha$ excludes $[1, \ldots, 1]_{99}=\alpha,[1, \ldots, 1]_{100}=0$.

## Determining Higher Commutators Uniquely

Theorem. Let A be a finite algebra in a cm variety. Then there is a finite set $\Psi$ of extended commutator equalities such that the higher commutator operations $C_{\mathbf{A}}$ of $\mathbf{A}$ are the unique sequence satisfying $\Psi$, symmetry and the omission property. From $\Psi$, we can effectively evaluate all $\left[\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]$ and $\left[S ; \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]$.

## Extensions of antitone functions

■ We represented $C_{\mathbf{A}}$ by an antitone function $F: \mathbb{N}_{0}{ }^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$.
■ Its extension $\widehat{F}:\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \cup\{\infty\}\right)^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ is defined by

$$
\widehat{F}(\boldsymbol{x}):=\bigwedge\left\{F(\boldsymbol{b}) \mid \boldsymbol{b} \leq \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}{ }^{m}\right\} .
$$

This is the continuous extension of $F$ to $\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \cup\{\infty\}\right)^{m}$, seen as the $m$-fold product of the Alexandroff extension of $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ with the discrete topology.

- Continuous antitone functions can be represented by finite subsets of their graph.


## Unique representation of antitone functions



The upper function is uniquely determined among antitone functions by

$$
\text { black points and } \widehat{f}(\infty)=2
$$

the lower function by

$$
\text { black points and } f(20)=2 \text { and } f(21)=1 \text { and } \widehat{f}(\infty)=1 .
$$

## Distinguishing higher commutator operations

Problem. We are given two finite algebras $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ in cm varieties with the same congruence lattice. Task: Determine whether they have the same higher commutator operations.

■ After verifying a finite number of $\left[\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]_{\mathbf{A}}=\left[\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]_{\mathbf{B}}$, we may still have $C_{\mathbf{A}} \neq C_{\mathbf{B}}$.

- We can determine $C_{\mathbf{A}}=C_{\mathbf{B}}$, provided we can solve one of the following tasks:
$\square$ Find sets of commutator equalities $\Phi_{\mathbf{A}}, \Phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ such that $C_{\mathbf{A}}$ is largest with $\Phi_{\mathbf{A}}+$ symmetry + omission property, similar for $C_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}$.
$\square$ Find a sets of extended commutator equalities $\Psi_{\mathrm{A}}, \Psi_{\mathrm{B}}$ such that they uniquely determine $C_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $C_{\mathbf{B}}$.
$\square$ Evaluate $\left[S ; \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]_{\mathbf{A}}$ for $S \subseteq \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A})$.


## Learning higher commutator operations

We have an algorithm that finds a set of extended commutator equalities $\Psi_{\mathrm{A}}$ by evaluating finitely many expressions of the form $\left[S ; \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]_{\mathbf{A}}$.

Definition. A class $\mathcal{V}$ of algebras has computable extended commutator sequences if there is an algorithm which, given $\mathbf{A}=\left(A,\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in N}\right) \in \mathcal{V}$ (by the operation tables of all $f_{i}$ ), $S \subseteq \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{A})$, computes the extended commutator $\left[S ; \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right.$ ].

## Open Question.

Which hc-symmetric classes of algebras have computable extended commutator sequences?

